UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL United States of America Arturo Saenz Garza Case No. 1:10 Cr 106 Defendant After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require that the defendant be detained pending trial. Part I – Findings of Fact (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed - that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which the prison term is 10 years or more. an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment. an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in: a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. ____ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: ____ a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250 (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption. Alternative Findings (A) √ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense ✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in: Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B) (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. ✓ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community. Part II – Statement of the Reasons for Detention I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by <a> clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that: defendant is a 59 year old resident of Texas. He has been indicted in this district for mailing 50 kilograms or more of marijuana from Texas to Michigan. Defendant's criminal record features numerous convictions for marijuana trafficking over a 40-year period, including three federal felony drug convictions. Defendant was convicted in 1989 of possession with intent to deliver, for

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

which he received an 84 month prison term followed by 5 years of supervised release. The court revoked supervised release in 1997, when defendant was convicted on a federal drug conspiracy charge. He was convicted again in 2006, receiving a prison

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

term plus 3 years on supervision, which he is presently serving. Defendant's continuation of drug trafficking while on

supervised release proves that no conditions of bond will adequately protect the community in this case.

Date:	July 7, 2010	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
		Name and Title:	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge